

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4754		
09/734,637	12/12/2000	Greg Volgas	HCC-9 (306*141)			
23416	7590 11/22/2002					
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER		
1220 N MAR P O BOX 220	KET STREET 17	LOVERING, RICHARD D				
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1712	10		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

Office Action S	Summary
-----------------	---------

Application No.
09/134, 637

Applicant(s)

VOLGAS ET AL
Examiner

Group Art Unit

1712

Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	LOVERIN	16	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet ber	eath the co	orrespondence ad	idress
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	<u>3</u> mont	H(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by</li> </ul>	esponse within the statutory t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	minimum of thom the mailing	nirty (30) days will be o	considered timely.
Status				
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on SET.9 Corp	M9/3) AND 16,	2002		•
This action is FINAL.	•			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C		ution as to	the merits is clos	sed in
Disp sition of Claims				
$\times$ Claim(s) 29,31-35,37,38,40-48	50 AND 51	is/are	pending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from co	nsideration.
Claim(s) 42-46	····	is/are	allowed.	
Claim(s) 29, 31-35,37,38, 40, 41, 4°	7,48,50 ANDS	is/are	ejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			bject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		require	ment.	•
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	leview, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved 🗆	disapprove	d.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Internal</li> </ul>	priority documents have	e been		
*Certified copies not received:			•	
Attachment(s)				
Mainformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 🗆 Inte	rview Sumr	mary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		ice of Inforn	nal Patent Applicat	tion, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth	ner	.,	<del></del>

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. 10

Office Acti n Summary

Serial No. 09/734,637

Art Unit 1712

1. In view of the papers filed September 16, 2002, the inventorship of this non-provisional application has been changed by the deletion of Robert Mack.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 29 31-35, 38, 147, 48 and 51 are rejected under flut 133 at a left 137 and 147 and 157 and 1
- 4. Claims 37, 40, 41 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arranaga et al. above in view of Martin et al. 5,466,458. The especially pertinent part of Arranaga is pointed out in the preceding paragraph. While Arranaga does not disclose a pesticide or an insecticide, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to incorporate the permetrin and S-bioallethrin or chlorpyrifos metal of Examples 1A or 7, resp. of Martin et al. in the composition of Example 2 or 4 of Arranaga

Serial No. 09/734,637

Art Unit 1712

to impart a pesticidal or insecticidal property thereto. The use of a known additive for its known function lacks patentable significance. See <u>In re Sussman</u>, 554 O.G. 17, 1943 C.D. 518.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 31-35, 40, 41 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 31-35, 40, 41 and 50 are indefinite and incomplete in depending, directly or ultimately, upon a cancelled claim, i.e. claim 3-0, 39 or 49.

- 7. Applicants' arguments filed September 9, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

  Organoclay derivatives are silicon based oil thickeners because they are aluminosilicates and contain SiO<sub>2</sub>. Applicants themselves contemplate the use of silicates. See specification page 8, lines 6-8.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record doesn't disclose or fairly suggest the compositions covered by

Serial No. 09/734,637

Art Unit 1712

applicants' claims 42-46 for the reasons presented in their remarks in the amendment filed September 9, 2002.

- 9. The references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 have been considered and made of record.
- 10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lovering whose telephone number is (703) 308-0443. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

Serial No. 09/734,637

Art Unit 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R. Lovering:cdc November 20, 2002

> RICHARD D. LOVERING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1889 1700